Performance Evaluation of 3G CDMA Networks with Antenna Arrays

IEEE 4th Workshop on Applications and Services in Wireless Networks

> Dr. D. J. Shyy The MITRE Corporation

Jin Yu and Dr. Yu-Dong Yao Stevens Institute of Technology

August 9, 2004

Background

Jul. 2003

- The 2G CDMA IS-95A cellular network has been deployed for eight years. Although the system design rules and operating procedures for voice services are well established and understood, these rules and procedures need to be re-examined in light of several technology innovations.
 - Launching of cdma2000 1x high-rate data services*
 - Interference cancellation**
 - Antenna array
 - Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO)

*D. J. Shyy, "Impact of deploying cdma2000 data to voice capacity using cellular simulator," *OPNETWORK Conference*, Aug. 2002.

****D. J. Shyy and J. Dunyak**, "Evaluation of interference cancellation technique in CDMA networks," *OPNETWORK Conference Distinguished Paper Award*, Aug. 2003.

Objectives

- We have built a 3G cdma2000 1x cellular simulator to investigate various design issues of cdma2000 1x networks.
 - Simulate the physical layer using MATLAB
 - Simulate the networking layer, call processing and system aspects using OPNET Modeler
- In this paper, we investigate the effectiveness of deploying antenna arrays in CDMA networks using cdma2000 1x cellular simulator.

Jul. 2003

US Cellular Service Providers and Their Deployed Technology

	cdma2000 1x	cdma2000 1x	GSM/GPRS	IS-136	i-DEN	W-CDMA
		EVDO	(TDMA)	(TDMA)	(TDMA)	
AT&T			X	X		X
Cingular			X	X		
Monet Mobile	X	X				
Networks						
Nextel					X	
T-mobile			X			
Verizon	X	X				
Sprint PCS	X	X				

*Note: In December 2002, AT&T Wireless and DoCoMo announced an agreement to work together to launch four U.S. markets using W-CDMA by the end of 2004. In July 2004, W-CDMA has bee deployed in the following 4 US cities: Detroit, Phoenix, San Francisco and Seattle.

CDMA IS-95 Evolution Path



What is the Drive for 3G?

- Achieve higher voice capacity than 2G systems (at least double)
- Support high-rate data services
 - However, it is not sure where the 3G market is and what the killer applications are? Wireless Internet? Camera photos? Streaming audio and video? Location service? In addition, Wi-Fi (WLAN) hot spots are taking over 3G data market in a fast pace.
 - As a result, US operators are not counting on data applications. Instead, US operators are counting on the superior voice capacity.
- Better spectrum efficiency
- Seamless global roaming (does not seem to be possible any more)

IMT-2000

Jul. 2003

International Mobile Telecommunications-2000 (IMT-2000) is the 3G standards in International Telecommunications Union (ITU)

- In Europe, 3G is also referred as Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS)
- 2000 referred to both the frequency band (2 GHz) and the expected deployment date (year 2000)

Data rate capabilities

- 144 Kbps for vehicular (macro cell)
- 384 Kbps for pedestrian (micro cell)
- 2 Mbps for fixed (pico cell)

3G Standards

Jul. 2003

 On 11/05/99, ITU Radio Communication Sector (ITU-R) Task Group 8/1 endorsed 3 modes for IMT-2000 standards:

- Multi-carrier (MC) FDD
 - IMT-MC
 - cdma2000 1x and 3x for radio access network
- Direct Spread (DS) FDD
 - IMT-DS
 - W-CDMA FDD for radio access network
- Direct Spread (DS) TDD
 - IMT-TC
 - W-CDMA TDD for radio access network
 - Mainly in China

Comment: 3 modes actually mean 3 standards.

Maximizing Radio Capacity for 3G

- The fundamental bottleneck of a 3G network is still in the radio access network, not in the core network. As a result, capacity optimization of the radio access network is most important.
 - Conserving the usage of spectrum is the top priority for US cellular service providers because some of the providers have only 5 MHz of spectrum
- •IS-95 voice capacity per cell
 - 20 25 users

- cdma2000 1x voice capacity per cell
 - 35 40 users

Jul. 2003

Methods of Increasing Radio Capacity

- •cdma2000 1x enhancements
- Interference cancellation
- Smart antenna
- Multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO)

Evaluate the Capacity Improvement using Antenna Array at the BS

- MATLAB Models
- Antenna Array Models
- OPNET Models

MATLAB Models

Derive the E_b/N_t for forward and reverse links

Antenna Array Models

- A standard linear equally spaced (LES) array is considered. The distance d between the elements of the LES array is half of the carrier wavelength.
- The overhead channels (pilot, paging and sync) are sent over the common omnidirectional antenna. The traffic channel is sent over the individual beam pointing toward the user. The patterns of individual beams are the same except the direction is different.

Array	Main lobe	Main lobe	Side lobe	Side lobe
elements	beamwidth	gain	beamwidth	gain
	2.500	17.1 ID		
1	360°	17.1 dB	N/A	N/A
2	1380	17.1 dB	2220	11.3 dB
4	76 ⁰	17.1 dB	2840	7.8 dB
6	54 ⁰	17.1 dB	306°	5.9 dB

An Example of Antenna Gain Pattern: 3 Elements



OPNET Models

Jul. 2003

- Forward link and reverse link (Downlink and uplink)
- Pilot, paging and sync channels
- Fundamental channels
- Supplemental channels
- Soft handoff procedures and messaging
- •Power control for forward and reverse links
- Admission control for forward and reverse links
- Computation of same-cell interference, other-cell interference, and noise for both forward and reverse links

Network Configuration

1 Base Station Controller (BSC) 19 omni-directional cells

- Statistics are collected only for the center cell. The other cells are used to provide realistic interference condition.
- 836 mobiles
- Cell antenna height: 30 m
- •BS max. power: 16 W
- PCS frequency band (1900 MHz)
- Propagation model: Extended HATA
- In-building penetration loss: 15 dB
- •Cell radius: 675 meter (derived from RF link budget)

OPNET Network Layout



Simulation Results I: Voice Capacity Comparison

• The voice service uses Rate Set 1, i.e. 9.6 kbps. The required Eb/Nt to achieve the target FER 1% for the forward voice channel is 7 dB.



Jul. 2003

Simulation Results II: Always Available 153.6 **Kbps Data**

Beamforming is used to compensate for the difference in processing gain when supporting different data rates. As a result, the users can always enjoy high-rate data service regardless of the location. At the BS, the forward transmit power required to support 153.6 kbps SCH can be computed assuming the data rate is 9.6 kbp.



Simulation Results III: Dynamic Handover Thresholds Adjustment

 Since the interference is reduced using antenna array techniques, the pilot Ec/Nt seen by the user also becomes stronger. As a result, more users are in 2-way and 3-way soft handoff. The voice capacity can be further improved if the soft handoff thresholds are optimized (e.g. increase T_ADD)



Conclusions

- With the help of the cellular simulator, we conclude that the network capacity increase is proportional to the antenna array capability at the BS.
- When the BSs are equipped with antenna array, the network capacity is largely improved (almost doubled with a high enough number of array elements) compared to a network without antenna array.
 - This finding is impressive since with the major enhancements from cdma2000 1x (such as improved coding gain, faster forward power control, and coherent demodulation for the reverse link), the capacity improvement of cdma2000 1x compared to IS-95 is only between 1.5 – 2 times

Jul. 2003

Recommendations

 Our recommendations for deploying antenna array in the cdma2000 networks are to

- Balance the coverage of pilot channel and traffic channels
- Deploy at least 6 array elements per antenna array to double the voice capacity
- Use antenna array to perform QOS provisioning for high-rate data users, i.e., increase the coverage range for high-rate data connections.